

Free Scientologists

Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Grosz, Schweiz
FS Bulletin vom 24. Aug. 2007

Suppression of Class VIII - Symptom of Governmental Control

In this article I will show you, that the new management above the Sea Org (CST & RTC, manned mainly by non-Scientologist) are suppressing the main product of the Scientology Orgs: the training of Auditors, especially Class 8 and above and by this Scientology itself. The stats shown here will speak of itself.

The Class 8 is a **Standard Tech Specialist** and so connected with **Keeping Scientology Working** as per this quote from the Tech Dictionary:

HSTS, Hubbard Standard Technical Specialist. A Class VIII auditor. The Class VIII Course teaches exact handling of all cases up to 100 per cent result, as well as Class VIII procedures, all case setup actions, all processes and corrective actions, as well as flubless Class VIII auditing. (CG&AC 75¹)

L. Ron Hubbard explains the Class VIII in his 1st Lecture of the 19 Class VIII-Tapes on 24. Sept. 1968 WELCOME TO THE CLASS VIII COURSE, AN INTRODUCTION TO STANDARD TECH:

“Now, what is Class VIII? Why Class VIII? Well, I wish to welcome you all here to a series of revelations. A series of very, very interesting revelations known as Standard Tech. You are about to get wins. And about to guide through wins that you have never heard of before.

Technology was actually not summated until 1966. Individuals follow what is called the hidden data line, mostly. Um... Didn't get a laugh. You don't even know what it is. But a student on the Saint Sill Briefing Course is given all the research materials, now of eighteen years, so that he'll have a good background and a good rounding out on which he can operate. and then he goes into power and his lessons in simplicity begin to occur.

Only an expert can be simple. A one-ace trained individual, “Uh, no, ah, ah, where's the tone arm? “ Much less where's the pc? “I, I, it looks awfully blank, and uh I think that blankness, ... and see, I think blankness, I don't remember calling anything... I'd better develop some technology. Let's see. I've got a new process now. 'Who's blank?' Yeah, that ought to crack his case, yeah. 'Who's blank?' ” They don't even know there's any technology there, boy.

In other words it takes a total expert to be totally simple. And you just gonna be surprised studying this Class VIII course to find out how totally expert you have to be to be totally simple. It is a fantastic revolution. It's a revolution, it's a revelation.”

The Class VIII course correspondents with OT III as a big part of the tapes is about how to handle trouble on uncompleted OT III cases. The 19 lectures on Class 8 were given

¹ CG&AC 75 = The Classification Gradation and Awareness Chart of 1975 per Tech Dictionary

between 24. September and 15. October 1968. Especially these advices from Ron, how to handle the OT cases are widely ignored today.²

The following table shows the development of the Class VIII and IX prerequisites on the Bridge in chronological order:

Year	Class VIII prerequisites	Class IX prerequisites	My Comments
Dec. 1966	Class VIII still non existent, here only up to Class VII, auditing Power and Clearing Course.	Class IX still non existent	Class VIII not yet mentioned, as it was only delivered since 1968
1 Sep 1969	HDG (= Standard Dn Auditor & C/S), HSS Class VI, OT III	Class IX still non existent	
1970	HDG (= Standard Dn Auditor & C/S), HSS Class VI, OT II, on OT III	Class IX still non existent	Only change: OT III must not be completed.
1975	HSDC (= Hubbard Standard Dn Course), HSS Class VI prov, OT II, on OT III	HSTS prov. (= Class VIII)	Only change: HSDC, which replaced HDC & HDG. New Class IX.
1976	HSDC (= Hubbard Standard Dn Course), HSS Class VI prov	HSTS prov. (= Class VIII)	Does not mention OT III required?! Why? Class VIII is about OT III, so this requirement can not be lifted. See 1980!
1978 ³	HNEDA prov., Class VI	Class VIII Course	
1980	M1 W/C, Student hat, HSS Class VI, NED, TRs Course (for Students doing the confidential Class VIII Course checksheet OT III or above is a prerequisite)	HSTS	Can there be a non-confidential Class VIII checksheet? Then it must be without the Class VIII tapes, which destroys the essentials of this class?! The 4 “new requirements” just install a confusion, as they are already a requirement for Class 0 & IV !
1982	Class VI	HSTS	Now the confusion of 1980 is put away, but where is the OT III prerequisite gone?

² See my FSBs on the alter-is of OT III and NOTs.

³ Quoted from the book „What is Scientology?“ 1978, second printing 1979.

1986	OT III, perm. Cl. VI, HNEDA, Pro TR, M1	Perm. Class IV , OT III, ProTR, M1 & SO-Contract in FSO or AO	Here is it again: OT III and the confusing list of lower level prerequisites. New on the Class IX prerequisites: only Class IV is enough! See later.
1990	OT III, perm. Cl. VI, HNEDA, Pro TR, M1	Perm. Class V grad , new OT IV, ProTR, M1 & SO-Contract in FSO or AO	New prerequisite for Cl. IX: new OT IV and SO-contract!
1995	OT III, perm. Cl. VI	Perm. Class VIII , new OT IV & SO-Contract in FSO or AO	Back to Cl. VIII as prerequisite, but only for a short time!
1998	OT III, perm. Cl. VI	New OT IV & SO-Contract in FSO or AO, Class V grad perm., Hubbard Review Auditor for Advanced Courses or Class VIII perm.	And “forth” to Cl. V as prerequisite.
1999 (valid until at least 2007)	OT III, perm. Cl. VI	Same as above	

What can be seen in this table? First look at the Class VIII-column:

The history of Class VIII

Between 1969 and 1975 there is not much change. Only an adaptation of the name of the Standard Dianetics Course and a clarification, that the Class 8 has only to be started with OT III, not necessarily completed it.

But from 1976 up to 1986 there is an oddity: no mention of OT III any more. Was there a change of the Class 8-checksheet, so that even pre-OT IIIs could study it. Then it must be a checksheet without the Class 8 lectures!?! – Too far my interpretation? No: take a look at the year 1980 (since 1978 there are a mainly non-LRH issues published, see my earlier FSBs):

On the one hand you will find a lot of new prerequisites which are much lower on the bridge: “M1 W/C, Student hat, TRs Course.” It makes no sense to put them here for Class 8 as they are already the prerequisites for the lower classes. And on the other hand the funny part: “for Students doing the confidential Class VIII Course checksheet OT III or above is a prerequisite”. So they established a squirrel, non-confidential Class VIII Course checksheet since 1976! The current Checksheet – which is available to me – is an HCOPL 1980, which shows, that there is some tampering on that line. Why not the original checksheet by LRH?

Then from 1986 up to present time there is again the prerequisite of OT III.

The history of Class IX

Now it becomes even worse if you look at the history of Class IX! On the bridge of 1975 the Class IX is first mentioned. Of course with the prerequisite of Class VIII as one would expect. This stays so until 1986. Then we get the Quickie-Class IX-Training: you don't have to take the long route through SHSBC and Class VIII but can quickly shortcut your training and jump directly after Class IV onto the Class IX-Course and become an Auditor, who repairs bogged OT III cases! – Although the original plan of LRH was, that one should be a SHSBC-Auditor before one starts with any Solo-Auditing (see the earlier Bridges on this), later this restriction was released, so that one could as an HQS-Auditor go onto the Solo-Course and Solo-Audit on the OT-levels. Of course one could oversee something and the case would hang up and one needs a welltrained Class VIII to debug it. That was the solution up to 1986. But the training of such qualified Auditors was stopped in the last decades, as I will prove and so the Advanced Orgs and Flag got a problem. This situation of no new Class VIIIs could cause a heavy production decline on their Solo-HGCs. The solution was this Quicky-Class IXs. No extensive SHSBC and Class VIII required. But only for SO-members of AOs and Flag.

I once briefed my hopefully Selecties⁴, preparing for their first Service in Flag, that they should insist of getting the best auditors for all this big money they had to pay. I said, they should insist on a Class VIII Auditor to get best quality. When they came back I asked: “Did you get a Class VIII?” – “Yes, even better: I got a Class IX, but he was also not able to handle the mess of my case!” was the disappointed answer.

Only on the Bridge of 1995 there was a short attempt to ask for a Class VIII prerequisite for a Class IX, but on the next Bridge 1998 this was cancelled: Class V is enough!

How many Class VIIIs are made since the Golden Age of Tech?

The Golden age of Tech (short GAT) was introduced by RTC COB David Miscavige at the 9th May celebration of 1996, a decade ago. The purpose was to produce enough auditors to clear the planet by enhanced drills.

Was this announcement true? What does the stats of the next 10 years tell us about that. The product will tell us about the intentions. – So how many Auditors were made since 1996?

This question is not easy to answer, as the Int Management does not publish the stats on the world wide basis. Per LRH from every Staff member is expected, that he displays his personal stats visible beside his desk (i.e. HCOPL 12. Nov. 71R II) and that every Org should show all their Gross Divisional Statistics on their OIC-Board⁵. But the international stats are a big secret and not available to the members. A No Report on the stats is a condition of treason and so the Int Management is subject to a Committee of Evidence.⁶

⁴ People I started as FSM on the Bridge, made them to Scientologist.

⁵ The main stats of an org should be published as an OIC = Organization Information Center as per HCOPL 11. Aug. 1960 ORGANIZATION INFORMATION CENTER: „Organizations have slumps. These slumps stem directly from org dept failures. If these small slips are noticed in ample time, the organization *cannot* have a slump.” And HCOPL 5. Febr. 1970 Statistics, Management by says: “These graphs, the OIC, should be POSTED WHERE STAFF CAN SEE THEM, not hidden in some room or in only an Exec Sec’s office. They tell the rest of the org what the division is doing.” And when they are missing, that tells us, what the management is not doing.

⁶ HCOPL 2 April 1965 FALSE REPORTS: “ALL HCO IS INTERESTED IN WHEN ORIGINATING COMMITTEES OF EVIDENCE IS FALSE REPORTS, FALSE ATTESTATIONS, NO REPORTS OR

The data about the German orgs I know tell me, that the number of HGC-Auditors and –C/Ses is down compared with the pre-GAT time. But I have only data for one or the other org, but no overview internationally.

But there exists a source of data on which we can find reliable data: The Course Completions listed in the org magazines of the upper orgs: Flag and AOs! – These were collected and published on a website, which is available for every one interested⁷. One could argue, that the owner of the website (Kristi Wachter) is not in good standing with the CoS and so no reliable source. But then we fall back to the no report of the Int Management itself. This itself is a crime. Kristi just fills the gap. And when someone doubts her data he is able to confirm the data by comparing her webpages with the Org Mags she quotes. No one yet was able to proof, that she made mistakes.

From these data I took the following excerpts on the class VIII courses:

The following table⁸ shows completion tallies for Training at AOLA, Scientology's Advanced Organization Los Angeles, as listed in Advance magazine. (Other Advanced Orgs in Europe and Australia have their own Advance magazines):

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total GAT
HUBBARD CLASS VIII COURSE	1	2								2		2
CLASS VIII INTERNSHIP		2	3 ⁹									0
CLASS VIII C/S	1											0
CLASS VIII C/S INTERNSHIP	1											0

That confirms the report I got from a Class 8 of this area, that no new Class 8s are trained in the last 10 years.

And here are the Flag Stats¹⁰:

	'96	'97	'98	'99	'00	'01	'02	'03	'04	Total
HUBBARD CLASS VIII COURSE		4	1	2		1	8	2	4	22
CLASS VIII INTERNSHIP		3	1	1	2		5	5	1	18
CLASS VIII C/S		3	2	1	1		2	1	1	11
CLASS VIII C/S INTERNSHIP			4		2		3	2	2	13
CLASS IX COURSE			3	3		12	8	11	15	52
CLASS IX INTERNSHIP		20	6	2	1	3	16	14	6	68

REFUSALS TO REPORT. ON CASES OF THESE ONLY SHOULD HCO CALL A COMMITTEE OF EVIDENCE UNDER MISDEMEANOR OR CRIME. CORRECT PROCEDURE IS DEMAND CORRECTION OR REPORT AND IF STILL FALSE OR STILL REFUSED HCO SEC CALLS COMMITTEE OF EVIDENCE AT ONCE. HCO WILL CALL COMMITTEE OF EVIDENCE WHEN REQUESTED BY OTHER DIVISIONS BUT ITSELF ORIGINATES COMMITTEE OF EVIDENCE ONLY AS ABOVE.”

⁷ See <http://www.truthaboutscientology.com/stats/index.html>

⁸ See http://www.truthaboutscientology.com/stats/advance/2004/training_stats_thru_2004.html

⁹ I assume these 3 completions as pre-GAT as no one did his meter-drills, TRs etc. up to Class 8 in 6 months. The former Class 6 Snr C/S from Berlin Org in Germany needed 9 months on his GAT-meter-drills! He is now SP-declared because of “out-2D”: he fell in love with a fellow student in Flag.

¹⁰ See http://www.truthaboutscientology.com/stats/source/2004/complete_table.html#category4

Very obvious the fact of the quicky-Class IX: 68 completed internships vs. 18 Class VIII internships in the 9 years of GAT.

18 + 2 = 20 Class VIII Internships in these two big orgs in the US! And don't assume, that these are mainly newly made Class VIIIs. They are not: These people are mainly old Class VIIIs and above who are on their GAT-retrain! They not even kept a small part of the formerly trained class VIIIs:

Compare this with the earlier production of Class VIIIs in the years when Ron was on lines: I quote here from a page from The Auditor – The Monthly Journal of Scientology – Edition for the Americas – No 96 from April 1974 which I published on the internet as a document.¹¹

The Title of the article is “Class VIII trained in the Western Hemisphere¹² and contains 240 Auditors. As the class VIII course started in the end of 1968 this is the production of 5 ½ years, that is **44 Class VIII per year** or nearly one per week!

Compare this with the **2 Class VIII per year** in the last decade and you know what suppression is.

This is just an example of the stats one can find out about. And not one of the minor ones: the Class VIII is responsible for KSW in his area. Responsible for the delivery of standard tech. All the successful missions in the 70ies and up to 1982 were lead by Class VIII.

My wife and I were part of the **only four** fulltime Field Auditors in Germany. They threw us both out of Scientology in 1999. One of the two remaining German Field Auditors is Dietmar Kecht: a Class VIII!

The Class VIIIs are the stable terminals in Scientology. L. Ron Hubbard trusts them. And these are suppressed by the new management since the mid-1970ies as was shown in this article.

We should not stop to ask: Where are all the Class VIIIs in Scientology? Why are no new Class VIIIs trained any more? Who is in charge of KSW today? RTC? They consists mainly of non Scientologists, they are lawyers: what do they know about Standard Tech? David Miscavige? He is still on his ARC-Straightwire and has no tech training.

When you want to know, how the Sea Org supports the training of their own staffs up to Class XII, you should read the website of Class XII Pierre Ethier. He wrote up under which conditions he had to study all the materials up to Class XII when he already was a high-production Class VIII-Auditor in Flag. He did not even got much study-time and was handled as if his technical study was his private hobby-horse! He had to listen LRH-tapes in every spare minute. It is even a wonder that he finally was able to complete the course. Read this story: it reveals the True Love of the new Management for highly trained auditors. And so do the Stats I presented here. **Open your eyes and your mouth!**

Andreas Grosz

Freie Scientologen

Copyright © 2007 by Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Grosz, All rights reserved.

¹¹ Copy of the page: <http://www.freiescientologen.de/auditor98.jpg>

¹² Western Hemisphere = US, Canada, Mexico, Central & South America